	Case 2:04-cv-00666-RSL-JLW Document 145 Filed 02/16/07 Page 1 of 1		
1			
2			
3	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
4	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
5	SACRAMENTO DIVISION		
6		02:04-CV-0666 DFL KJM P	
7		ORDER	
8	v.	ORDER	
9	E. S. ALAMEIDA, et al.,		
10	Defendants.		
11	Detendants.		
12	On February 14, 2007, Defendant Exum requested an extension of time to file his reply		
13	to Plaintiff's opposition to Defendant's motion for summary judgment, his opposition to		
14	Plaintiff's cross-motion for summary judgment and respond to two other documents filed by		
15	Plaintiff. Defendant explains that Plaintiff merged his opposition to Defendant's motion with a		
16	cross-motion for summary judgment, causing confusion. He further explains that each document		
17	is large and at times, confusing. Defendant seeks to and including March 15, 2007, to file his		
18	reply, opposition and, if appropriate, respond to Plaintiff's other two documents.		
19	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
20	For good cause shown, Defendant's request is granted. Defendant shall file his reply,		
21	opposition and responses, if any, by March 15, 2007.		
22	Dated: February 15, 2007		
23	U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	Order 1		